## Chapter-4

A new initial search direction for nonlinear conjugate gradient method

## Introduction:

The general nonlinear Conjugate Gradient method always considers critical initial search direction to find a convergent solution. In the present work our aim is to establish the fact that the convergent solution can also be obtained for nonlinear function, even if the initial search direction is different from the initial search direction taken in most of the algorithms of the nonlinear Conjugate Gradient method. In the present work we try to established the above mentioned fact theoretically.

Conjugate Gradient(C.G) methods comprise a class of unconstrained optimization algorithms which are characterised by low memory requirements and strong local and global convergence properties. In the seminal 1952 paper of Hestenes and Stiefel, the algorithm is presented as an approach to solve symmetric, positive-definite linear systems.

A non-linear unconstrained optimization problem can be stated as

$$\min\{f(x): x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$
(4.1)

Where  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuously differentiable function especially if the dimension is very large.

The Conjugate Gradient method to solve the general nonlinear problem defined by (4.1) is of the form

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k \tag{4.2}$$

where  $\alpha_k$  is a step size obtained by a line search and  $d_k$  is the search direction obtained by

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} -g_{k}, k = 1\\ -g_{k} + \beta_{k} d_{k-1}, k \ge 2 \end{cases}$$
(4.3)

Where  $\beta_k$  is a parameter and  $g_k$  denotes  $\nabla f(x_k)$  where the gradient  $\nabla f(x_k)$  of f at  $x_k$  is a row vector and  $g_k$  is a column vector. Different C.G methods correspond to different choices for the scalar  $\beta_k$ . It is known from (4.2) and (4.3) that only the step size  $\alpha_k$  and the parameter  $\beta_k$  remain to be determined in the definition of Conjugate Gradient method. In this case that if f is a convex quadratic, the choice of  $\beta_k$  should be such that the method (4.2)-(4.3) reduces to the linear Conjugate Gradient method if the line search is exact namely

$$\alpha_k = \arg\min\{f(x_k + \alpha d_k); \alpha > 0\}$$
(4.4)

For non linear functions, different formulae for the parameter  $\beta_k$  result in different Conjugate Gradient methods and their properties can be significantly different. To differentiate the linear Conjugate Gradient method, sometimes we call the Conjugate Gradient method for unconstrained optimization by nonlinear Conjugate Gradient method. Meanwhile the parameter  $\beta_k$  is called Conjugate Gradient parameter. The equivalence of the linear system to the minimization problem of  $\frac{1}{2}x^TAx - b^Tx$  Motivated Fletcher and Reeves to extend the linear Conjugate Gradient method for nonlinear optimization. This work of Fletcher and Reeves in 1964 not only opened the door of nonlinear C.G Field but greatly stimulated the study of nonlinear optimization.

In general the nonlinear Conjugate Gradient method without restarts is only linearly convergent(See Crowder and Wolfe[54]) while n-step quadratic convergence rate can be established if the method is restarted along the negative gradient every n-step.(See Cohen [55] and McCormick and Ritter[56])

In 1964 the method has been extended to nonlinear problems by Fletcher and Reeves [44], which is usually considered as the first nonlinear Conjugate Gradient algorithm. Since then a large number of variations of Conjugate Gradient algorithms have been suggested. A survey on their definition including 40 nonlinear Conjugate Gradient algorithms for unconstrained optimization is given by Andrei[73].Since the exact line search is usually expensive and impractical, the strong Wolfe line search is often consider the implementation of the nonlinear Conjugate Gradient methods .It aims to find a step size satisfying the strong Wolfe conditions.

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) - f(x_k) \le \rho \alpha_k g_k^T d_k$$
(4.5)

$$\left|g(x_{k}+\alpha_{k}d_{k})^{T}\right| \leq -\sigma g_{k}^{T}d_{k}$$

$$\tag{4.6}$$

Where  $0 < \rho < \sigma < 1$ 

The strong Wolfe line search is often regarded as a suitable extension of the exact line search since it reduces to the latter. If  $\sigma$  is equal to zero, in practical computation a typical choice for  $\sigma$  that controls the inexactness of the line search is  $\sigma$ =0.1.On the other hand general non linear function ,one may be satisfy with a step size satisfying the standard wolf conditions ,namely and

$$g(x_k + \alpha_k d_k)^T d_k \ge \sigma g_k^T d_k$$

$$0 < \rho < \sigma < 1.$$
(4.7)

As is well known the standard Wolf line search is normally used in the implementation of Quasi-Newton methods, another important class of methods for unconstrained optimization. The work of Dai and Yuan indicates that the use of standard Wolfe line search is possible in the nonlinear Conjugate Gradient field. A requirement for an optimization method to use the above line searches is that, the search direction  $d_k$  must have descent property namely

$$g_k^T d_k < 0 \tag{4.8}$$

For Conjugate Gradient method, by multiplying (4.3) with  $g_k^T$ , we have

$$g_k^T d_k = -\Box g_k \Box^2 + \beta_k g_k^T d_{k-1}$$

Thus if the line search is exact, we have  $g_k^T d_k = -\Box g_k \Box^2$  since  $g_k^T d_{k-1} = 0$ .

Consequently  $d_k$  is descent provided  $g_k \neq 0$ .

In this work we assume that a Conjugate Gradient method is descent if (4..8) holds for all k and is sufficient descent if the sufficient descent condition

$$g_k^T d_k \leq -c \,\Box \, g_k \,\Box^2$$

Holds for all k and some constant c>1.However we have to point out that the borderlines between these Conjugate Gradient methods are not strict.

Any Conjugate Gradient algorithm has very simple and the prototype of the general nonlinear Conjugate Gradient algorithm can illustrated as follows:

Step1: Select the initial stating point

 $x_0 \in dom f$  and compute  $f_0$  and  $g_0 = \nabla f(x_0)$ 

 $d_0 = -g_0$  and k = 0

Step2: Test a criterion for stopping the iteration.

For example, if  $s \square g_k \square_{\infty} \le \in$ , then stop otherwise continue with step 3

- **Step3:** Determine the step length  $\alpha_k = \frac{g_k^T d_k}{d_k^T d_k}$
- **Step4:** Update the variables as:  $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$

Compute  $f_{k+1}$  and  $g_{k+1}$ 

- Compute  $y_k = g_{k+1} g_k$  and  $s_k = x_{k+1} x_k$
- **Step5:** Determine  $\beta_k$
- **Step6:** Compute the search direction as:  $d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k s_k$

Step7: Restart criterion.

For example if the restart criterion of Powell  $|g_{k+1}^T g_k| > 0.2 \Box g_{k+1} \Box^2$  is satisfied,

then set  $d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1}$ 

**Step8**: Compute the initial guess  $\frac{\alpha_{k-1} \Box d_{k-1} \Box}{d_k}$ , set k = k+1 and continue with

step 2.

This is a prototype of the conjugate gradient algorithm but some more sophisticated variants are also known

These variants focus on parameter  $\beta_k$  computation and on the step length determination.

The objective of this present work is to search an initial search direction other than  $d_0 = -g_0$  for general unconstrained nonlinear Conjugate Gradient method and to establish the fact that the general unconstrained nonlinear conjugate gradient algorithm can be used with this new search direction. The other objective of the present paper is to test the convergence of the Conjugate Gradient algorithm for this new search direction. Already the Conjugate Gradient method has been devised by Magnus Hestenes (1906-1991) and Eduard Stiefel (1909-1978) in their seminal paper where an algorithm for solving symmetric, positive-definite linear algebraic systems has been presented and after a relatively short period of stagnation ,the paper by Reid brought the Conjugate Gradient method as a main active area of research in unconstrained optimization and later in 1964 the method has been extended to nonlinear problems by Fletcher and Reeves.

In the present work of this thesis, we will assume that the initial search direction for the nonlinear conjugate gradient algorithm is slightly deflect from the direction  $-g_0$ .

In our work, instead of  $d_0 = -g_0$  we have taken the initial search direction as

$$d_0 = -g_0 + \gamma g_0 \tag{4.9}$$

Where  $\gamma$  is very small and  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . The values of  $\alpha_k$  can be obtained by (4.9)

in 
$$\alpha_k = \frac{g_k^T d_k}{d_k^T d_k}$$

Therefore for k = 0 we have

$$\alpha_{0} = \frac{g_{0}^{T} d_{0}}{d_{0}^{T} d_{0}}$$

$$= \frac{-g_{0}^{T} g_{0}}{(1 - \gamma) g_{0}^{T} g_{0}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{1 - \gamma}$$
(4.10)

Putting the value of  $\alpha_k$  in (1.2) (chapter 1)

for k = 1

$$x = x_0 + \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} (1 - \gamma) g_0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow x_1 - x_0 = g_0$$
(4.11)

Therefore

$$d_{1} = -g_{1} + \beta_{1}d_{0}$$
  
=  $-g_{1} + \beta_{1}(1 - \gamma)g_{0}$   
=  $-g_{1} - \frac{\Box g_{1}\Box^{2}}{\Box g_{0}\Box^{2}}(1 - \gamma)g_{0}$   
=  $-g_{1} - Lg_{0}$  (4.12)

Where 
$$L = \frac{\Box g_1 \Box^2}{\Box g_0 \Box^2} (1 - \gamma)$$
 (4.13)

Which gives

$$g_{1}^{T}d_{1} = -\Box g_{1}\Box^{2} - \frac{\Box g_{1}\Box^{2}}{\Box g_{0}\Box^{2}}(1-\gamma)g_{1}^{T}g_{0} \leq -c\Box g_{1}\Box^{2}$$
(4.14)

Therefore

$$\alpha_{1} = \frac{g_{1}^{T} d_{1}}{d_{1}^{T} d_{1}}$$

$$= \frac{\Box g_{1} \Box^{2} - L g_{1}^{T} g_{0}}{\Box g_{1} \Box^{2} + L (g_{1}^{T} g_{0} + g_{0}^{T} g_{1}) + (1 - \lambda) L \Box g_{1} \Box^{2}}$$
(4.15)

Neglecting the remaining terms .

Again for k = 2

$$x_2 = x_1 + \alpha_1 d_1$$

$$= x_{1} - \frac{\Box g_{1} \Box^{2} - Lg_{1}^{T}g_{0}}{\Box g_{0} \Box^{2} + L(g_{1}^{T}g_{0} + g_{0}^{T}g_{1}) + (1 - \lambda)L \Box g_{1} \Box^{2}} (g_{1} + Lg_{0})$$
  

$$\Rightarrow | x_{2} - x_{1}| = \frac{\Box g_{1} \Box^{2} - Lg_{1}^{T}g_{0} ||(g_{1} + Lg_{0})|}{|\Box g_{0} \Box^{2} + L(g_{1}^{T}g_{0} + g_{0}^{T}g_{1}) + (1 - \lambda)L \Box g_{1} \Box^{2}|}$$
(4.16)

Continuing as above we have

$$x_{k+1} - x_{k} = \frac{(\Box g_{k} \Box^{2} - Lg_{k}^{T}g_{k-1})(g_{k} + Lg_{k-1})}{\Box g_{k-1} \Box^{2} + L(g_{k}^{T}g_{k-1} + g_{k-1}^{T}g_{k}) + (1 - \gamma)L \Box g_{0} \Box^{2}}$$
  
$$\leq \frac{\frac{1}{c}}{\Box g_{k-1} \Box^{2} + (1 - \gamma)^{2}g_{k-1} \Box g_{k} \Box^{4}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\frac{1}{c}}{c + (1 - \gamma)^{2} g_{k-1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists x_{k+1} - x_{k} \Box \leq \frac{c}{\Box c + (1 - \gamma)^{2} g_{k-1}} \qquad [c > 1, \frac{1}{c} < 1]$$

$$\leq \frac{c}{c - (1 - \gamma)^{2} \|g_{k-1}\|}$$

$$< \frac{c}{c - (1 - \gamma)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}} = \epsilon \text{ (say)} \qquad [\|g_{k-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}] \qquad (4.18)$$

Therefore  $x_k$  converges again from (4.2) and (4.3)

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$$
  

$$d_k = -g_k + \beta_k d_{k-1}$$
  

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) - f(x_k) = \alpha_k d_k g_k^T + R_n$$

Here  $R_n$  is the remainder after n terms.

Therefore,

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) - f(x_k) \le \alpha_k d_k g_k^T$$

Applying Taylor's series ,we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= x_k + \alpha_k d_k \\ d_k &= -g_k + \beta_k d_{k-1} \\ f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) - f(x_k) &\leq \alpha_k d_k g_k^T \\ f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) &= f(x_k) + \frac{\alpha_k d_k}{1} \nabla f(x_k) + \frac{\alpha_k^2 d_k d_k^T}{2} \nabla^2 f(x_k) + \dots \infty \end{aligned}$$

Again from Wolfe conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= x_k + \alpha_k d_k \\ d_k &= -g_k + \beta_k d_{k-1} \\ f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) - f(x_k) &\leq \rho \alpha_k d_k g_k^T \\ &= \rho \alpha_k g_k^T \{ (-g_k) + \beta_k d_{k-1} \} \\ &= -\rho \alpha_k \Box g_k \Box^2 + \rho \alpha_k g_k^T \beta_k d_{k-1} \\ &\Rightarrow f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) - f(x_k) < -\rho \alpha_k \Box g_k \Box^2 \\ &\Rightarrow f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) - f(x_k) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) < f(x_k) < f(x_{k-1}) < \dots < f(x_1) < f(x_0)$$

From above we can observe that the function satisfies the Wolfe Conditions and so it is convergent.