## Chapter-2

# Survey of Literature

The following chronological list gives us an idea about some choices for the conjugate gradient update parameter

In 1952, Hestenes and stiefel<sup>[45]</sup> proposed the formula as  $\beta_k^{HS} = \frac{y_k^T g_{k+1}}{d_k^T y_k}$  in the

original (linear) Conjugate Gradient paper.

Here we briefly discuss the Hestenes-Stiefel (HS) conjugate gradient method namely

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k \tag{2.1}$$

Where  $\alpha_k$  is the step size obtained by a line search and  $d_k$  is the search direction defined by

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} -g_{k}, k = 1\\ -g_{k} + \beta_{k} d_{k-1}, k \ge 2 \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

Where  $\beta_k$  is a parameter and  $g_k$  denotes  $\nabla f(x_k)$  where  $\beta_k$  is calculated by

$$\beta_{K}^{HS} = -\frac{g_{k}^{T} y_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^{T} y_{k-1}}$$
(2.3)

Such a formula is first used by Hestenes and Stiefel in the proposition of the linear conjugate gradient method in 1952.

A remarkable property of the HS method is that, no matter whether line search is exact or not by multiplying (2.2) with  $y_{k-1}$  and using (2.3) we always have

$$d_k^T y_{k-1} = 0 (2.4)$$

In the quadratic case,  $y_{k-1}$  is parallel to  $Ad_{k-1}$ , where A is the Hessian of the function. Then (2.4) implies  $d_k^T Ad_{k-1} = 0$  namely  $d_k$  is conjugate to  $d_{k-1}$ . For this reason the relation (2.4) is often called conjugacy condition.

If the line search is exact, we have by

$$g_k^T d_k = -\Box g_k \Box^2 + \beta_k g_k^T d_{k-1}$$
(2.5)

That  $g_k^T d_k = -\Box g_k \Box^2$  and  $\beta_k^{HS} = \beta_k^{PRP}$ . Therefore the HS method is identical to the PRP method in case of exact line searches. One major observation is that, when  $\Box s_{k-1} \Box$  is small both the nominator and denominator of  $\beta_k^{HS}$  become small so that  $\beta_k^{HS}$  might be unbounded. Another observation is that, for any one dimensional function, we always have

$$d_2 = -g_2 + \beta_2^{HS} d_1 = -g_2 + \frac{g_2 \cdot y_1}{d_1 \cdot y_1} d_1 = -g_2 + g_2 = 0$$
(2.6)

independent of the line search. Consequently there is some special to ensure the descent property of the HS method with exact line searches. Further , we can similarly modify the standard Wolfe line search to ensure the sufficient descent condition and global convergence for the  $HS^+$  method. If the sufficient descent condition  $g_k^T d_k \leq -c \Box g_k \Box^2$  is relaxed to the descent condition, Qi et al established the global convergence of the modified HS method, where  $\beta_k$  takes the form

$$\beta_k^{QHL} = \max\{o, \min\{\beta_k^{HS}, \frac{1}{\Box g_k \Box}\}\}$$
(2.7)

Early 1977, Perry observed that the direction in the HS method can be written as

$$d_k = -P_k g_k \tag{2.8}$$

Where

$$P_{k} = I - \frac{d_{k-1}y_{k-1}^{T}}{d_{k-1}^{T}y_{k-1}}$$

Noting that  $P_k^T y_{k-1} = 0$ ,  $P_k$  is a affine transformation that transforms  $R^n$  into the null space of  $y_{k-1}$ . To ensure the descent property of  $d_k$ , however, we may wish the matrix  $P_k$  is positive definite. It is obvious that there is no positive definite matrix  $P_k$  such that the conjugacy condition (2.4) holds. In case of exact line searches, it is sufficient to require  $P_k$  to satisfy

$$P_k^T y_{k-1} = s_{k-1}, (2.9)$$

Which exactly the quasi-Newton equation.

First nonlinear Conjugate Gradient method ,proposed by Fletcher and Reeves in 1964 and proposed the formula as  $\beta_k^{DY} = \frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{d_k^T y_k}$ .

For solving the unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min\{f(x):x\in R^n\}$$

where where  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable .Dai and Yuan suggested the following nonlinear conjugate gradient algorithm

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$$

Where the step size  $\alpha_k$  is positive and the direction  $d_k$  are computed by the rule

$$d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k^{DY} s_k, d_0 = -g_0$$
  
where  $\beta_k^{DY} = \frac{g_{k+1}^T g_{k+1}}{y_k^T s_k}$  (2.10)

Using a standard Wolfe line search, the Dai and Yuan method always generates descent directions and under Lipschitz assumption it is globally convergent. Here we present a modification of the Dai and Yuan computational scheme as:

where 
$$g_{k} = \nabla f(x_{k})$$
  
 $d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_{k}^{A} s_{k}$   
 $d_{0} = -g_{0}$ 
(2.11)

where 
$$\beta_k^A = \frac{g_{k+1}^T g_{k+1}}{y_k^T s_k} - \delta_k \frac{(g_{k+1}^T s_k)(g_{k+1}^T g_{k+1})}{(y_k^T s_k)^2}$$
 (2.12)

and  $\delta_k$  is a positive parameter which follows to be determined. It is assume that  $y_k^T s_k \neq 0$ , so that  $\beta_k^A$  is well-defined.(Using a standard Wolfe line search,  $y_k^T s_k > 0$  when  $g_k \neq 0$ .)

The general form (2.12) which is used to deduce the  $\beta_k^A$  in (2.11) has also been proposed. The motivation of the proposed  $\beta_k^A$  in (2.12) were introduced here is that under suitable conditions it assures the descent character of the direction  $d_{k+1}$  in (2.11). The following theorem proves this.

**Theorem:** If  $y_k^T s_k \neq 0$  and  $d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k^A s_k (d_0 = -g_0)$  where  $\beta_k^A$  is given by (2.12), then

$$g_{k+1}^{T}d_{k+1} \leq -\left(1 - \frac{1}{4\delta}\right) \Box g_{k+1} \Box^{2}$$
(2.13)

**Proof:** 

Since

 $d_o = -g_0$ 

therefore

 $g_0^T d_0 = -\Box g_0 \Box^2$  which satisfy (2.13)

Multiplying (2.11) by  $g_{k+1}^T$ 

$$g_{k+1}^{T}d_{k+1} = -\Box g_{k+1} \Box^{2} + \frac{(g_{k+1}^{T}g_{k+1})(g_{k+1}^{T}s_{k})}{y_{k}^{T}s_{k}} - \delta_{k} \frac{\Box g_{k+1} \Box^{2} (s_{k}^{T}g_{k+1})^{T}}{(y_{k}^{T}s_{k})^{2}}$$
(2.14)

$$\frac{(g_{k+1}^{T}g_{k+1})(g_{k+1}^{T}s_{k})}{y_{k}^{T}s_{k}} = \frac{\left[(y_{k}^{T}s_{k})g_{k+1}/\sqrt{2\delta_{k}}\right]^{T}\left[\sqrt{2\delta_{k}}(g_{k+1}^{T}s_{k})g_{k+1}\right]}{(y_{k}^{T}s_{k})^{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2\delta_{k}}(y_{k}^{T}s_{k})^{2} \Box g_{k+1}\Box^{2} + 2\delta_{k}(g_{k+1}^{T}s_{k})^{2} \Box g_{k+1}\Box^{2}\right]}{(y_{k}^{T}s_{k})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\delta_{k}}\Box g_{k+1}\Box^{2} + \delta_{k}\frac{(g_{k+1}^{T}s_{k})^{2} \Box g_{k+1}\Box^{2}}{(y_{k}^{T}s_{k})^{2}} \qquad (2.15)$$

Using (2.15) in (2.14) gives (2.13)

To conclude the sufficient descent condition from(2.13),the quantity  $1 - \frac{1}{4\delta_k}$  is required to nonnegative. Supposing that  $1 - \frac{1}{4\delta_k} > 0$ , then the direction given by (2.11)and (2.12) is a descent direction. Data and Yuan present conjugate gradient schemes with the property that  $g_k^T d_k < 0$  when  $y_k^T s_k > 0$ .

If *f* is strongly convex or the line search satisfies the Wolfe conditions, then  $y_k^T s_k > 0$  and the Dai and Yuan scheme yield descent.

It is also observed that ,if for all  $k, \frac{1}{4\delta_k} \le 1$  and the line search satisfies the Wolfe conditions ,then for all the search direction (2.11) and (2.12) satisfy the sufficient descent condition.

It is observed that if f is a quadratic function and f is selected to achieve the exact minimum of in the direction  $d_k$ , then  $s_k^T g_{k+1} = 0$  and the formula (2.12) for  $\beta_k^A$  reduces to the Dai and Yuan computational scheme. However here they consider general nonlinear functions and inexact line search.

The numerical experiments with algorithm (2.11) and (2.12) shows that for different choices of  $\delta_k$  its performance is quite different. Therefore in order to get an efficient algorithm in the following present a procedure for  $\delta_k$ computation. Mainly this is based on the conjugacy condition. Dai and Liao introduced a new conjugacy condition as  $y_k^T d_{k+1} = -ts_k^T g_{K+1}$ , where  $t \ge 0$  is a scalar. This is reasonable since in the general inexact line search is used in real

But

computation. However this condition is very dependent by the nonnegative parameter  $t_{.}$ . It is observed that using (2.12) in (2.11) the following direction can be obtained:

$$d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \frac{g_{k+1}^T g_{k+1}}{y_k^T s_k} s_k - \delta_k \frac{\Box g_{k+1} \Box^2}{(y_k^T s_k)^2} (g_{k+1}^T s_k) s_k$$
(2.16)

Which can be written as

$$d_{k+1} = -Q_{K+1}g_{k+1} \tag{2.17}$$

Where the matrix  $Q_{K+1}$  is

$$Q_{K+1} = I - \frac{s_k g_{k+1}^T}{y_k^T s_k} + \delta_k \frac{\Box g_{k+1} \Box^2}{(y_k^T s_k)^2} (s_k s_k^T)$$
(2.18)

Now by symmetrisation  $Q_{k+1}$  as:

$$\overline{Q}_{k+1} = I - \frac{s_k g_{k+1}^T + g_{k+1} s_k^T}{y_k^T s_k} + \delta_k \frac{\Box g_{k+1} \Box^2}{(y_k^T s_k)^2} (s_k s_k^T)$$
(2.19)

Which can consider the direction

$$d_{k+1} = -\overline{Q_{k+1}}g_{k+1} \tag{2.20}$$

The reason of symmetrisation the  $Q_{k+1}$  as  $\overline{Q_{k+1}}$  in (2.19) is that the direction  $d_{k+1}$  computed as in (2.20) resembles the quasi-Newton methods. However it uses only the symmetry and do not modify further  $\overline{Q_{k+1}}$  in order to satisfy the quasi-Newton equation.

Now from the conjugacy condition  $y_k^T d_{k+1} = 0$ 

i.e. 
$$y_k^T \overline{Q_{k+1}} g_{k+1} = 0$$
 (2.21)

after some computation it follows that

$$\delta_{k} = \frac{y_{k}^{T} s_{k}}{g_{k+1}^{T} s_{k}} + \frac{g_{k+1}^{T} y_{k}}{\Box g_{k+1} \Box^{2}} - \frac{(g_{k+1}^{T} y_{k})(y_{k}^{T} s_{k})}{\Box g_{k+1} \Box^{2} (g_{k+1}^{T} s_{k})}$$
(2.22)

Therefore using (2.22) in (2.12) gives the expression for  $\beta_k^A$  as follows

$$\beta_{k}^{A} = \frac{1}{y_{k}^{T} s_{k}} \left( y_{k} - \frac{g_{k+1}^{T} y_{k}}{y_{k}^{T} s_{k}} s_{k} \right)^{T} g_{k+1}$$
(2.23)

Observe that  $\beta_k^A$  from (2.23)can be written as:

$$\beta_{k}^{A} = \frac{y_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}}{y_{k}^{T} s_{k}} \left[ 1 - \frac{s_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}}{y_{k}^{T} s_{k}} \right] = \frac{y_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}}{y_{k}^{T} s_{k}} \left( -\frac{s_{k}^{T} g_{k+1}}{y_{k}^{T} s_{k}} \right)$$
(2.24)

Assuming that  $d_k$  is a descent direction i.e  $g_k^T s_k \le 0$  and the step length  $\alpha_k$  is determined by the Wolfe line search conditions, it is observed that  $\beta_k^A$  is positive multiplicative modification of  $\beta_k^{HS} = y_k^T g_{k+1} / y_k^T s_k$ .

If the line search is exact, then in this case  $s_k^T g_{k+1} = 0$  and therefore  $\beta_k^A = \beta_k^{HS}$ , for which the conjugacy condition holds.

Denoting  $d_{k+1}$  and  $d_{k+1}^{HS}$  to be the search directions given by  $\beta_k^A$  and  $\beta_k^{HS}$ respectively, namely  $d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k^A s_k$  and  $d_{k+1}^{HS} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k^{HS} s_k$ 

Then it was found that  $g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1} = g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1}^{HS} - \beta_k^{HS} \frac{(s_k^T g_{k+1})^2}{y_k^T s_k}$  (2.24)

Again assuming that  $g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1}^{HS} < 0$  and  $\beta_k^{HS} \ge 0$  (2.24) gives the condition  $g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1} < 0$ .

Thus if the direction generated by the HS method is descent,  $\beta_k^{HS} \ge 0$  and if the line search is given by the Wolfe conditions, then the direction generated by  $\beta_k^A$  must also be a descent direction.

Here we can observe that the direction

$$d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} - \frac{(y_k^T g_{k+1})(s_k^T g_k)}{(y_k^T s_k)^2} s_k$$
(2.25)

Is not a descent direction at every iteration. However, since  $-s_k^T g_k / y_k^T s_k \ge 0$ , when  $d_k$  is a descent direction, then if

$$\frac{y_k^T s_k}{s_k^T g_k} \Box g_{k+1} \Box^2 + \frac{(y_k^T g_{k+1})(s_k^T g_{k+1})}{y_k^T s_k} \le 0$$

It follows that  $g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1} \leq 0$ .

On the other hand, we observe that

$$\frac{y_k^T s_k}{s_k^T g_k} \square g_k \square^2 \le 0$$

and tends to zero.

Therefore if

$$\frac{(y_k^T g_{k+1})(s_k^T g_{k+1})}{y_k^T s_k} \le \frac{y_k^T s_k}{\left|s_k^T g_k\right|} \square g_{k+1} \square^2$$
(2.26)

then  $g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1} \le 0$ .

In 1967 Denial proposed a new method which requires evaluation of the Hessian

 $\nabla^2 f(x)$ , and the formula used by him is  $\beta_k^D = \frac{g_{k+1}^T \nabla^2 f(x) d_k}{d_k^T \nabla^2 f(x) d_k}$ 

In 1969, Polak and Riebiere proposed the formula for  $\beta$  as

$$\beta_k^{PRP} = \max\{0, \frac{g_{k+1}^T y_k}{g_k^T g_k}\}$$

In PRP method they considered the following unconstrained optimization problem

To find arg min{ $f(x): x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ } (2.27)

Where  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuously differentiable function especially if the dimension is very large.

The Conjugate Gradient method to solve the general nonlinear problem defined by (2.27) is of the form

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k \tag{2.28}$$

Where  $\alpha_k$  is a step size obtained by a line search and  $d_k$  is the search direction obtained by

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} -g_{k}, k = 1\\ -g_{k} + \beta_{k} d_{k-1}, k \ge 2 \end{cases}$$
(2.29)

Where  $\beta_k$  is a parameter and  $g_k$  denotes  $\nabla f(x_k)$  where the gradient  $\nabla f(x_k)$  of f at  $x_k$  is a row vector and  $g_k$  is a column vector .Different C.G methods correspond to different choices for the scalar  $\beta_k$ .Plenty of C.G methods are known and excellent survey of these methods, with special attention on their global convergence properties.

In the PRP method parameter  $\beta_k$  is computed from

$$\beta_k = \frac{g_k y_{k-1}}{\Box g_{k-1} \Box^2} \tag{2.30}$$

where  $y_{k-1} = g_k - g_{k-1}$  and  $\Box$ .  $\Box$  stands for the Euclidean norm. When the step-size  $\alpha_k$  is small and the direction  $d_k$  is very close to the steepest descent direction  $-g_k$ . Thus this PRP method has a built-in restart feature that directly addresses the jamming problem. This feature means that the PRP method has been one of

the most efficient Conjugate methods in practical computation for many years Dai[61].Constructed an example to indicate that the PRP method may generate an upward direction resulting in the iterative scheme falling even if the objective function is uniformly convex under the strong Wolfe line search. So, far the convergence of the PRP method has not been completely proved under the Wolfe line line search.

Another popular method to solve the problem(2.27) is the spectral gradient method proposed originally by Barzilai and Borwein.

The direction  $d_k$  is generated by

$$d_k = -\theta_k g_k + \beta_k s_{k-1} \tag{2.31}$$

where  $s_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1}d_{k-1}$  and  $\theta_k$  is the spectral gradient parameter. In [62] Raydan introduce the spectral gradient method for large scale unconstrained optimization problems. An attractive property of this method is that it only needs gradient directions at each line search whereas a non monotone strategy guarantees the global convergence.

Out performs the sophisticated C.G method in many problems. Begin and Martine [67] proposed a spectral gradient method in which is computed from (2.31).One parameter  $\theta_k$  is generated by

$$\beta_{k} = \frac{\theta_{k} g_{k}^{T} y_{k-1}}{\alpha_{k-1} \theta_{k-1} \Box g_{k-1} \Box^{2}}$$

$$(2.32)$$

If  $\theta_k = \theta_{k-1} = 1$ , this is the classical parameter (4). Motivated by the success of spectral gradient method ,they also compute  $\theta_k$  using

$$\theta_k = \frac{s_{k-1}^T s_{k-1}}{s_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}} \tag{2.33}$$

Under the standard Wolfe line search, they show that the scaled PRP method,(2.31)-(2.33) is very effective. However, the scaled PRP method cannot guarantee the descent direction at each iteration which lead may lead to failure of the iterative scheme.

Because of the advantages of the PRP method and the scaled PRP method, a new method spectral PRP(SPRP) Conjugate Gradient method was developed. The SPRP method not only processes the sufficient descent property and global convergence property, but also satisfies the famous conjugacy condition.

The SPRP method and its descent property : In this method the problem of the type (2.27) was solved using a new iterative method, in which the iterative point is generated by (2.28) and the direction  $d_k$  is obtained by

$$d_{k} = \begin{cases} -g_{k}, k = 1\\ -\theta_{k}g_{k} + \beta_{k}d_{k-1}, k \ge 2 \end{cases}$$
(2.34)

Where  $\theta_k$  is the spectral gradient parameter ,and  $\beta_k = \beta_k^{PRP}$ , Obviously if  $\theta_k = 1$ , it reduces to the PRP method. In this method, the parameter  $\theta_k$  is selected in such a way that at each iteration the conjugacy condition is satisfied independent of the line search. Multiplying (2.34) by  $y_{k-1}^T$ , we have

$$d_{k-1}^{T} y_{k-1} = -\theta_{k} g_{k}^{T} y_{k-1} + \beta_{k} d_{k-1}^{T} y_{k-1}$$

Hence from the conjugacy condition  $d_k^T y_{k-1} = 0$ , we obtain

$$\theta_{k} = \frac{d_{k-1}^{T} y_{k-1}}{\Box g_{k-1} \Box^{2}}$$
(2.35)

so the method constructed by (2.34) and (2.35) always satisfies the conjugacy condition, and has structure feature of the spectral gradient method. In the following algorithm, the specific iterative algorithm is given, and refer to it as the SPRP method.

#### Algorithm1:

**Step 1:** Data :  $x_1 \in \square^n$ ,  $\epsilon \ge 0$ . Set  $d_1 = -g_0$  if  $\square g_1 \square < \epsilon$ , then stop.

**Step 2:** Compute  $\alpha_k > 0$  using the standard Wolfe line search.

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) \le f(x_k) + \delta \alpha_k g_k^T$$
(2.36)

$$g(x_k + \alpha_k d_k)^T d_k \ge \sigma g_k^T d_k$$
(2.37)
Where  $0 < \rho < \sigma < 1$ 

**Step 3:** Let  $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$ ;  $g_{k+1} = g(x_{k+1})$ , if  $\Box g_{k+1} \Box < \epsilon$ , then stop`

**Step 4:**Compute  $\beta_{k+1}$  using (2.30); generate  $\theta_{k+1}$  using (3.35)

Step 5: If  $d_{k+1}g_{k+1} > -10^{-3} \square d_{k+1} \square \square g_{k+1} \square$  is satisfied, we set  $d_{k+1} = -\theta_{k+1}g_{k+1}$ , otherwise we compute  $d_{k+1}$  by

$$d_{k+1} = -\theta_{k+1}g_{k+1} + \beta_{k+1}d_k$$

**Step 6 : Set** k = k+1 go to step 2

**Lemma 1:**Let the sequences  $\{g_k\}$  and  $\{d_k\}$  obtained by the SPRP method in which  $\alpha_k$  satisfies any line search. Then,

$$g_{k}^{T}d_{k} < -(w \frac{\Box d_{k=1}}{\Box g_{k-1}} \Box g_{k-1} \Box^{2})$$

$$(2.38)$$

Where w > 0

**Lemma 2:** Suppose assumptions H holds. Let the sequences  $\{g_k\}$  and  $\{d_k\}$  be obtained by the SPRP method. Then

$$\frac{\sum_{k\geq 1} (g_k^T d_k)^2}{\Box d_k \Box^2} < +\infty$$

**Lemma 3:** Suppose assumptions H holds. Let the sequences  $\{g_k\}$  and  $\{d_k\}$  be obtained by the SPRP method. If there exist a constant r > 0 such that

$$\Box g_k \Box, \forall k \ge 1$$

then,

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{\Box d_{k-1} \Box^2}{\Box d_k \Box^2} < +\infty$$

Powell proposed a formula and analysed by Gilbert and Nocedal for  $\beta$  as

$$\beta_k^{PRP} = \max\{0, \frac{g_{k+1}^T y_k}{g_k^T g_k}\}$$

**Powell's restart criterion and descent property:** As mentioned in the first section, if

$$\sigma < 1$$

any of the FR, PRP and HS method with the strong Wolfe line search may produce ascent search directions even if the objective function is quadratic. Thus special attentions must be given to the problem how to keep the descent property of the Conjugate Gradient methods .In this section we will prove that if the Powell [65]'s restart criterion is applied , the three parameter family of methods with the strong Wolfe line search can guarantee the descent property of each search direction.

When dealing with Beale's three term Conjugate Gradient method, Powell [65] suggested a restart with

$$d_k = -g_k$$

if the following condition is satisfied

$$\left|g_{k}^{T}g_{k-1}\right| \leq \in \mathbb{D}g_{k}^{T}\mathbb{D}^{2}$$

where  $\in > 0$  is some positive constant. As Powell [65] observed ,such a restart criterion can avoid that Beale's recurrence to a non-stationary point (a strict convergence result was given in [66] for Beale's method with Powell's restart criterion), and improve the numerical behaviours of Beale's method.

In fact the standard Conjugate Gradient methods, if the function is convex quadratic and the line search is exact, then the relation  $g_k^T g_{k-1} = 0$  implies that no restart would take place and finite termination would occur. Thus the quantity  $\frac{g_k^T g_{k-1}}{||g_k|||^2}$  would indicate strong local non quadratic behaviour and hence would be indicative of a need for restarting. In the implementations of Conjugate Gradient methods, Powell restart criterion has been used by many authors, for example Buckley and Lenir [64] and Koda et al [63].

To show the importance of Powell's restart criterion in keeping the descent property of Conjugate Gradient methods, Koda et al [63] first take the HS method as an illustrative example. For this purpose, they define

$$\tau_k = \frac{g_k^T d_k}{\Box g_k \Box^2}$$

It is obvious that  $d_k$  is a descent direction if and if  $\tau_k > 0$ . For the HS method (2.28),(2.29) and  $\beta_k^{HS} = \frac{g_k^T y_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}}$ , direct calculations yield

$$\tau_{k} = \frac{g_{k-1}^{T} d_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^{T} y_{k-1}} \left[ 1 - \frac{g_{k}^{T} g_{k-1} g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}}{\Box g_{k} \Box^{2} g_{k-1}^{T} d_{k-1}} \right]$$

Fletcher proposed a formula for  $\beta$  as  $\beta_{K}^{CD} = \frac{g_{k+1}^{T}g_{k+1}}{-d_{k}^{T}g_{k}}$ 

Liu and Store proposed a formula for  $\beta_{K}^{LS} = \frac{g_{k+1}^{T} y_{k}}{-d_{k}^{T} g_{k}}$ 

Hybrid Liu and Storey-conjugate descent

$$\beta_k^{Hu-Storey} = \max\{0, \min\{\beta_k^{PRP}, \beta_K^{FR}\}\}$$

Hu and Storey proposed a formula for

$$\beta_{k}^{TA-S} = \beta_{k}^{PRP} \text{ if } 0 \le \beta_{k}^{PRP} \le \beta_{k}^{FR}$$
$$= \beta_{k}^{FR} \text{ otherwise}$$

Dai and Liao proposed a formula for  $\beta_k^{LD} = \frac{g_{k+1}^T(y_k - tS_k)}{d_k^T y_k}$ ,t>o

In 1987, Fletcher , CD stands for "Conjugate Descent"  $\beta_k^{CD} = \frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{-d_k^T g_k}$ 

In 1991,Liu and Storey proposed a formula for  $\beta_k^{LS} = \frac{g_{k+1}^T y_k}{-d_k^T g_k}$ 

In 1999, Dai and Yuan proposed a formula for  $\beta_k^{DY} = \frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{d_k^T y_k}$ 

or as  $d_{k+1} = -\theta_{k+1}g_{k+1} + \beta_k S_k$  where the parameter  $\theta_{k+1}$  is a scalar approximation of the inverse Hessian of the function f and  $\beta_k$  is selected as suggested by Bringin and Martinez

$$\beta_{k}^{BM} = \frac{g_{k+1}^{T}(\theta_{k}y_{k} - s_{k})}{y_{k}^{T}s_{k}} \text{ scaled form of Perry}$$

$$\beta_{k}^{BM+} = \max\left\{0, \frac{\theta_{k}g_{k+1}^{T}y_{k}}{y_{k}^{T}y_{k}}\right\} - \frac{g_{k+1}^{T}s_{k}}{y_{k}^{T}s_{k}} \text{ scaled form of Perry}$$

$$\beta_{k}^{SPRP} = \frac{\theta_{k}g_{k+1}^{T}y_{k}}{\alpha_{k}\theta_{k-1}g_{k}^{T}g_{k}} \text{ scaled form of Polak-Ribiere- Polyak}$$

$$\beta_{k}^{SFR} = \frac{\theta_{k} g_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1}}{\alpha_{k} \theta_{k-1} g_{k}^{T} g_{k}} \text{ scaled form of Fletcher-Reeves}$$

Recently in the year 2009 some modifications have been done by Abbas Y.AL-Bayati, A.J.Salim and Khalel K.Abbo and some new algorithms proposed by them are

### Algorithm1:

$$d = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k^{V1} d_k \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(g_k^T d_k)^2}{\|d_k\|^2} < +\infty \text{ where } \beta_k^{V1} \text{ is computed from}$$
$$\beta_k^{V1} = \left(1 - \frac{s_k^T y_k}{y_k^T y_k}\right) \frac{g_{k+1}^T y_k}{s_k^T y_k} \text{ and } \text{ the initial value } \alpha_k = \alpha_{k-1} \frac{\|d_k\|}{\|d_{k-1}\|} \text{ where }$$
$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$$

#### **Algorithm 2:**

$$d = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k^{V2} d_k \text{ where } \beta_k^{V2} \text{ is computed from}$$
$$\beta_k^{V2} = \left(1 - \frac{s_k^T y_k}{y_k^T y_k}\right) \frac{g_{k+1}^T y_k}{d_k^T y_k} + \frac{s_k^T g_{k+1}}{d_k^T y_k}$$

Also in the year 2010, some modifications have been done by Zeng Zin WEI, Hai Dong Huang, Yan Rong Tao and Some new algorithms proposed by them are

**Algorithm 3:** 

$$\beta_{k}^{HS} = \frac{g_{k}^{T} \left(g_{k} - \frac{g_{k}^{T} g_{k-1}}{\|g_{k-1}\|^{2}}\right) g_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^{T} \left(g_{k} - g_{k-1}\right)}$$
(2.39)

where  $\beta_k$  is a scalar and important feature of this new choice of  $\beta_k$  is that when the line search is adopted, the value  $\beta_k$  is greater than zero. From  $g(x_k + t_k d_k)^T d_k \ge \sigma g_k^T d_k$  and  $g_k^T d_k < 0$  it implies that

$$d_{k-1}^{T}(g_{k}-g_{k-1}) \ge (\sigma-1)g_{k-1}^{T}d_{k-1} \ge 0$$

Which along with the equation (2.39) gives

$$\beta_{k}^{HS*} = \frac{g_{k}^{T}g_{k} - \frac{g_{k}^{T}g_{k-1}}{\|g_{k-1}\|^{2}}g_{k}^{T}g_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^{T}(g_{k} - g_{k-1})}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\|g_{k}^{T}\|^{2}\|g_{k-1}^{T}\|^{2} - g_{k}^{T}g_{k-1}g_{k}^{T}g_{k-1}}{\|g_{k-1}\|^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\|g_{k-1}\|^{2}}{d_{k-1}^{T}(g_{k} - g_{k-1})}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\|g_{k}^{T}\|^{2}\|g_{k-1}^{T}\|^{2} - \|g_{k}^{T}\|^{2}\|g_{k-1}^{T}\|^{2}\cos^{2}\alpha_{k}}{\|g_{k-1}\|^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\|g_{k-1}\|^{2}}{d_{k-1}^{T}(g_{k} - g_{k-1})}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\|g_{k}^{T}\|^{2}\|g_{k-1}^{T}\|^{2}(1 - \cos^{2}\alpha_{k})}{\|g_{k-1}^{T}\|^{2}(g_{k} - g_{k-1})} \ge 0$$

where  $\alpha_k$  is the angle between  $g_k$  and  $g_{k-1}$ 

Huang, Yan Rong Tao made the following assumptions to develop new models: **Assumption A:** The level set  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \le f(x_1)\}$  is bounded.

**Assumption B:** In some neighbourhood N of  $\Omega$ , f(x) is differentiable. The gradient  $g(x) = \Delta f(x)$  is Lipschitz continuous, i.e.

$$\exists L > O \text{ s.t.} \square g(x) - g(y) \square \leq L \square x - y \square, \forall x, y \in N$$

$$(2.40)$$

In the CG method, the step-length  $\alpha_k$  is obtained by exact or inexact line search. In practical computation, exact line search is sometimes difficult and the workload is very large, so usually the following inexact line search is used by many researchers. The standard Wolfe linear search is to find the step-length  $\alpha_k$ . Satisfying

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) - f(x_k) \le \delta \alpha_k g_k^T d_k$$
$$g(x_k + \alpha_k d_k)^T d_k > \sigma g_k^T d_k$$
$$where 0 < \delta < \sigma < 1$$

Dai and Yuan put forward a new method  $\beta_k^{DY} = \frac{\Box g_{k+1} \Box^2}{d_k^T y_k}$ , such that the line search

satisfying the standard Wolfe condition is global convergence.

An equivalent  $\beta_k^{DY} = \frac{g_{k+1}^T d_{k+1}}{g_k^T d_k}$  was also proposed by them.

In their work they put forward a new formula of  $\beta_k$  as

$$\beta_{k} = \frac{\lambda g_{k+1}^{T} d_{k+1} - \mu \Box g_{k+1} \Box^{2}}{g_{k}^{T} d_{k}}$$
(2.41)

where  $\lambda$  together with  $\mu$  is 1.

In the case  $g_{k+1}^T d_k \le 0$ , we have  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , otherwise,  $\lambda > 1$ .

For (2.41), in the case  $\lambda$  is 0,  $\beta_k = \beta_k^{CD}$ . In the case  $\mu$  is 0,  $\beta_k = \beta_k^{DY}$ .

Due to  $d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k d_k$  and (2.1), we get

$$g_{k+1}^{T}d_{k+1} = -\Box g_{k+1}\Box^{2} + \beta_{k}g_{k+1}^{T}d_{k}$$
  
=  $-\Box g_{k+1}\Box^{2} + \frac{\lambda g_{k+1}^{T}d_{k+1} - \mu\Box g_{k+1}\Box^{2}}{g_{k}^{T}d_{k}}g_{k+1}^{T}d_{k}$  (2.42)

The relation simplifies as  $g_{k+1}^{T}d_{k+1} = -\frac{g_{k}^{T}d_{k} + \mu g_{k+1}^{T}d_{k}}{g_{k}^{T}d_{k} - \lambda g_{k+1}^{T}d_{k}} \Box g_{k+1} \Box^{2}$  (2.43)

Thus by the first equality in (2.41) and (2.42), we deduce an equivalent form of  $\beta_k$  i.e.

$$\beta_k = -\frac{\Box g_{k+1}}{g_k^T d_k - \lambda g_{k+1}^T d_k}$$

The above form for  $\beta_k$  can be used for practical computations.